# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-1505-EAQ-E TCEQ ID: RN104347141 RESPONDENT NAME: SOHO Retail, Ltd. **CASE NO.:** 34639

ORDER TYPE:							
X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING					
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER					
_AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER						
CASE TYPE:							
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE					
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION					
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL					
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTERADIOACTIVE WASTE						
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Hardy Oaks Stone Oak Retail, located at the northwest corner of Stone Oak Parkway and Hardy Oaks Boulevard, Bexar County  TYPE OF OPERATION: Construction site for a sewer collection system  SMALL BUSINESS: Yes X No  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.  COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on February 4, 2008. No comments were received.  CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Ms. Lynley Doyen, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-1364; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171  Respondent: Mr. Greg Mann, Manager, SOHO Retail, Ltd., 8000 Interstate Highway 10 West, Suite 200, San Antonio, Texas 78230							

# RESPONDENT NAME: SOHO Retail, Ltd. DOCKET NO.: 2007-1505-EAQ-E

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$3,900	Corrective Actions Taken:
Complaint X Routine Enforcement Follow-up Records Review	Total Deferred: \$780  X Expedited Settlement  Financial Inability to Pay	The Executive Director recognizes that on August 14, 2007, the Respondent received approval of an Edwards Aquifer protection plan for the Site.
Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None	SEP Conditional Offset: \$0	
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: August 2, 2007  Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case:	Total Paid to General Revenue: \$3,120  Site Compliance History Classification  X High Average Poor	
August 23, 2007 (NOE) <b>Background Facts:</b> This was a routine investigation.	Person Compliance History Classification  X High Average Poor	
WATER	Major Source: Yes X No	
Failed to obtain approval of an Edwards Aquifer protection plan prior to conducting	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	
a regulated activity over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. Specifically, the investigator observed evidence of ongoing development on the Site, including clearing and heavy equipment on approximately 0.43 acres [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 213.4(a)(1)].		
227 9 ((4)(2)1		

Additional ID No(s).: Edwards Aquifer Registration 13-04072201A

Policy Revision 2 (Septem	•	Calculatio	n Works	heet (Po	•	ision June 26, 2007
TCFQ						
	3-Sep-2007 4-Sep-2007 <b>Screenin</b>	g 14-Sep-2007	EPA Due	1.0	WEST (COMPANY CONTROL OF THE TOTAL OF THE TO	more on management of the contraction of the contra
RESPONDENT/FACILITY IN	FORMATION		<del></del>			
Respondent SC	OHO Retail, Ltd.					
Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RI			B.E 18	#:C	N 4:	
Facility/Site Region 13	-San Antonio		Major/i	Minor Source	iviinor	
CASE INFORMATION					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Enf./Case ID No. 34	639		No.	of Violations	1	
	)07-1505-EAQ-E			Order Type		-
Media Program(s)	lwards Aquifer	. p	Enf.	Coordinator		
Multi-Media	nit Minimum \$0	Maximum	\$10,000	EC's Team	EnforcementTeam 1	The state of the s
Admin. Penalty \$ Lin	ııt wımımumı φ∪	IMAXIMUM	\$10,000	****		
	Pan	alty Calcula	tion Sec	tion		
		•		LIOTI		40.000
TOTAL BASE PENALT	Y (Sum of violation	base penaltie	es)		Subtotal 1	\$6,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO	O CURTOTAL 1					Epital Color
Subtotals 2-7 are obtained	by multiplying the Total Base	Penalty (Subtotal 1) by	the indicated per	centage.		
Compliance History	, , , , ,		Enhancement		otals 2, 3, & 7	-\$600
		,				
Notes	The penalty is reduce	ced due to high pe	erformer classi	fication.		XX THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-
Culpability	No	0%	Enhancement	in the second	Subtotal 4	\$0
						C Francisco
Notes	The Respondent	does not meet the	e culpability cri	iteria.		
				<u> </u>	<u></u>	
Good Faith Effort to		25%	Reduction		Subtotal 5	\$1,500
	Before NOV NOV to EDI	PRP/Settlement Offer			•	
Extraordinary Ordinary	v					
N/A	X (mark with x)	)				
					\	
Notes Notes	The Respondent ac	chieved compliand	e on August 1	4, 2007.		
Land Control of the C					<u></u>	
			Enhancement*		Subtotal 6	\$0
	otal EB Amounts \$8 st of Compliance \$5,000	*Capped	d <sub>.</sub> at the Total EB \$	S Amount		
, approxi	ψο,οοο					
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	1-7				Final Subtotal	\$3,900
			The second secon			
OTHER FACTORS AS					Adjustment	\$0
Reduces or enhances the Final Sub	total by the indicated percentage	ge. (Enter number onl	y; e.g30 for -30	%.)	٦	
N1-6-						
Notes						
<u>L'</u>				Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$3,900
				, ,,,,,,,,		
STATUTORY LIMIT AD	JUSTMENT			Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$3,900
				- particular de la companya de la c -		
DEFERRAL			20%	Reduction	Adjustment	-\$.780
Reduces the Final Assessed Penalt	y by the indicted percentage.	(Enter number only; e.	g. 20 for 20% red	uction.)	1	
				i de la companya di Santa di S	1	
Notes	Deterral o	ffered for expedite	ea settiement.			
L					_	
PAYABLE PENALTY						\$3,120
I A LADLE FLINAL I I						, -,

Screening Date 14-Sep-2007

Docket No. 2007-1505-EAQ-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision June 26, 2007

 $\label{eq:condent} \textbf{Respondent} \ \ \textbf{SOHO} \ \ \textbf{Retail} \ , \ \textbf{Ltd}.$ 

Case ID No. 34639

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104347141

Media [Statute] Edwards Aquifer
Enf. Coordinator Lynley Doyen

**Compliance History Worksheet** 

	Number of	Inter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
Disclosures of viola	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Pleas	se Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment P	ercentage (Si	ıbtotal 2)
at Violator (Su	btotal 3)	SI, KI, CENTOSTO TOTAL	
No	Adjustment P	ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 3)
oliance History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
High Peri		ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 7)
oliance History	Summary Summary		
Compliance History Notes	The penalty is reduced due to high performer classification.	The first state of the state of	
	Total Adjustment Percentage	(Subtotals 2	2. 3. & 7)

Scre	ening Date	14-Sep-2007		Dock	et No. 2007-1505	-EAQ-E	PCW
, F	Respondent	SOHO Retail,	Ltd.			Po	licy Revision 2 (September 2002)
	Case ID No.	34639					PCW Revision June 26, 2007
Reg. Ent. Re				•			
	lia [Statute]		er				Promote Colo
	Coordinator <sub>,</sub>	Lynley Doyen					
Viol	ation Number	1					
	Rule Cite(s)		3	0 Tex. Admin. Cod	de § 213.4(a)(1)		
Violatio	n Description	regulated	l activity over	the Edwards Aqui ence of ongoing de	uifer protection plan ifer Recharge Zone. evelopment on the S proximately 0.43 ac	Specifically, the Site, including clea	· ·
						Base Per	salty \$10,000
>> Environmenta	I. Property a	ind Human I	lealth Mat	rix			
	. <b>.</b>		Harm			Living in the County Bedought V	
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor			
OR	Actual	<u> </u>			D	50/	. Latination (Out of the control of
	Potential			X	Percent	5%	
>>Drogrammotic	Matrix		745. TOTAL (SE				
>>Programmatic	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	4172 U STA		Value of the Control
	T alsineation	l l	Moderate		Percent	0%	
	L	<u> </u>				<u></u>	Danish
Matrix Notes	Human he which wou	alth or the envi	ronment will delevels that are	or could be expose e protective of hur	ed to insignificant ar	nmental receptors	ts
			. Julia i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		Adjustment	ψο	,300
					•		\$500
				and and an analysis of the second		anaman (2000/00s) Parametrick (1)	
Violation Events	The State of the State of			A STATE OF THE STA	The world his is	r malighair r malighair	
	No	-1-4:4-	40	I	12 Number of	violation days	
,	Number of Vi	olation Events	12	<u>L</u>	12 Number of	violation days	
		daily					
V + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 +		monthly					
	mark only one	quarterly			V	iolation Base Pe	nalty \$6,000
	with an x	semiannual					
		annual					
		single event	X				
	Twelve single	e events are re	commended f	rom the investigat	tion date (August 2, oproved (August 14,	2007) through the 2007).	date
Economic Benef	it (EB) for th	4				y Limit Test	
Economic Beller	The recent of the second second		at ilminasia. Saabahan elikeri	e i kasamani Mis	svet c. Juli is different som a make a red america	Age and the second second	
	Estimate	d EB Amount		\$8	Violati	on Final Penalty	Total \$3,900
			7	hie violation Fin	al Assessed Penalt	v (adjusted for li	mits) \$3,900
Le serve sourceoners				ma violation i'lli	ai Aggeggeu i eilali	., <sub>L</sub> adjaolod IoI III	70,000

	RN104347141 Edwards Aquife	er			e de la companya de l	Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No	, 1 				ga aqi <b>qiqa</b> riikin zaolarizadi		Depreciation
	48.50				DANSTANCE PERM	5.0	1:
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description  Delayed Costs		k garan de des eta kilokez beta Mandigas eta eta beta eta eta eta eta eta eta eta eta eta		vilos e d Soludo dad			
Equipment	4		Qui de la companya d	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	1,000,000	SHUB OF BRIDE		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		States of Injuries recogni	Pharmeters and a second control	0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction			Comband or a second	0.0	\$O	\$0	\$0
Land	Balaba dalah			0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$5,000	2-Aug-2007	14-Aug-2007	0.0	\$8 \$0	n/a n/a	\$8 \$0
Other (as needed)				1 0.0	Ι ΨΟ	I Company of the Comp	
other (as needed)	Estimated cost	to avances and out	mit on Edulida A	laite i	Ta Niesos Life III.		
Notes for DELAYED costs			Final date is	quifer P the date	rotection Plan. Da of compliance.	ite required is the inv	vestigation date
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	ANN		Final date is	quifer P the date	rotection Plan. Da of compliance.	te required is the inv	vestigation date
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal			Final date is	quifer P the date ntering	rotection Plan. Da of compliance. item (except for	nte required is the investment of the investment	vestigation date
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel	ANN		Final date is	quifer P the date ntering 0.0 0.0	rotection Plan. De of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0	te required is the inverse of the in	vestigation date
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  nspection/Reporting/Sampling	ANN		Final date is	quifer P the date ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	rotection Plan. Date of compliance.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ANN		Final date is	quifer P the date ntering 0.0 0.0	rotection Plan. De of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0	tte required is the inventor of the inventor o	osts)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	ANN		Final date is	quifer P the date ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	rotection Plan. Day of compliance.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	JFIQ. 1		Final date is	quifer P the date ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	rotection Plan. Day of compliance.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	tte required is the inventor of the inventor o	osts)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

## **Compliance History**

Rating: 0.00

Site Rating: 0.00

13-04072201

Rating Date: 9/1/2007

Repeat Violator: NO

13-04072201A

SOHO Retail, Ltd. Classification: HIGH CN603202300 Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: HARDY OAKS STONE Classification: HIGH RN104347141 Regulated Entity: OAK RETAIL REGISTRATION EDWARDS AQUIFER ID Number(s): REGISTRATION **EDWARDS AQUIFER** NW CORNER OF STONE OAK PKWY & Location: HARDY OAKS BLVD, BEXAR CO, TX **REGION 13 - SAN ANTONIO** TCEQ Region: September 12, 2007 Date Compliance History Prepared: Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement September 12, 2002 to September 12, 2007 Compliance Period: TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Name: Lynley Doyen Phone: 512-239-1364 **Site Compliance History Components** 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? Yes 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? WP South Acquisitions, L. L. C. SOHO Retail, Ltd. 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? Wood Partners, Ltd. 06/27/2007 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. N/A Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В. N/A C Chronic excessive emissions events N/A The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D 1 08/27/2007 (565896) Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) Ε N/A Environmental audits N/A Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates Н N/A Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. N/A

Early compliance

N/A Sites Outside of Texas N/A

## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	. §	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
SOHO RETAIL, LTD.	§	
RN104347141	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1505-EAQ-E

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its \_\_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding SOHO Retail, Ltd. (the "Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a construction site for a sewer collection system located at the northwest corner of Stone Oak Parkway and Hardy Oaks Boulevard in Bexar County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. The Respondent has caused, suffered, allowed or permitted the discharge of any waste or the performance of any activity in violation of TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26 or any rule, permit, or order of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about August 28, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Three Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars (\$3,900) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Three Thousand One Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$3,120) of the administrative penalty and Seven Hundred Eighty Dollars (\$780) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.
- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that on August 14, 2007, the Respondent received approval of an Edwards Aquifer protection plan for the Site.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Site, the Respondent is alleged to have failed to obtain approval of an Edwards Aquifer protection plan prior to conducting a regulated activity over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 213.4(a)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 2, 2007. Specifically, the investigator observed evidence of ongoing development on the Site, including clearing and heavy equipment on approximately 0.43 acres.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: SOHO Retail, Ltd., Docket No. 2007-1505-EAQ-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 4. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 5. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

24 September 2018 Anna September 2018 September

## SIGNATURE PAGE

#### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Soli Sallier	1 128/7008
For the Executive Director	Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEO seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of

SOHO Retail, Ltd.

MANDRE

**Instructions**: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

And the state of t